

## **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

Minutes of Meeting held on Thursday 03 October 2024 at 13.30pm in the Boardroom and by MS Teams

## Present:

James Walls (Convener) Grenville Johnston Jessie McLeman

In Attendance:

Murray Easton Derek Duncan

Alex Hastings (Minutes)

Sean Morrison (BDO)
Nicola Wright (Deloitte – MS Teams)
Phil Mason (Deloitte – MS Teams)

Item Action Date A.24.03.01 (i) Resignations 1.1 It was noted that Jessie McLeman is now a co-opted member of the Audit Committee, having stepped down from the convener position. (ii) Appointments 1.2 There have been no new appointments. A.24.03.02 **Apologies for Absence** Apologies for absence were received from Eleanor Melton. A.24.03.03 Any Additional Declarations of Interest including specific items on this Agenda 3.1 The Committee discussed Grenville's position given that he had agreed to be convener of the Finance and General Purposes Committee. Jessie agreed to review the Code of Good Governance as it was queried whether remaining a member of the Audit Committee was appropriate and also compliant with the College's own Terms of Reference. In the meantime, it was agreed that Grenville could be an observer of the Audit Committee, with James observing the Finance and General Purposes Committee. **ACTION** Jessie to review the Code of Good Governance and JMc report its position on a Finance Committee convenor being a member of the Audit Committee. **Draft Minutes of Previous Audit Committee** A.24.03.04 meetings: (i) 16 May 2024 4.1 The minutes of the meeting held on 16 May 2024 were accepted as a true and accurate record: Proposed: Grenville Johnston Seconded: Jessie McLeman (ii) 22 August 2024



	<u> </u>	<b>-</b>
4.2	Jessie requested that item A.24.03.03 stated that	
	the Committee agreed to approve the report subject	
	to final amendments.	
	Following the agreed amendment, the minutes of	
	the meeting held on 22 August 2024 were accepted	
	as a true and accurate record:	
	Proposed: Grenville Johnston	
	Seconded: Jessie McLeman	
A.24.03.05	Matters Arising from Previous Audit Committee	
	meetings:	
	(i) 16 May 2024	
5.1	<u>5.3.1</u> – Complete	
5.2	5.3.2 – Complete – Murray reported this to the	
	Board.	
5.3	<u>5.4</u> - Complete	
5.4	<b>5.10</b> - Complete	
5.5	11.1 – Complete – on agenda for today.	
5.6	<u>12.1</u> - Complete	
5.7	4.1 – Reserved – Removed as no longer relevant.	
	(ii) 22 August 2024	
5.8	All actions from the meeting on 22 August 2024	
	were noted as complete.	
	·	
A.24.03.06	Risk	
	(i) Review of Risk Register	
6.1	Murray presented an updated risk profile along with	
0.2	a proposal to reduce the number of risks to a more	
	meaningful and manageable number, determined by	
	residual risk and consistent with the College's risk	
	appetite. This included not actively managing items	
	which were deemed common UHI-wide risks unless	
	their generic nature evolved into a specific UHI	
	Moray risk. Applying these principles had reduced	
	the active risk set from 26 to 11 which was	
	considered more in line with best practice.	
	Murray also advised that the risk register system	
	Murray also advised that the risk register system being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.  Derek highlighted that it is not possible to assess	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.  Derek highlighted that it is not possible to assess how a risk has changed over time, using the current	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.  Derek highlighted that it is not possible to assess how a risk has changed over time, using the current system. Jessie added that it would be important to	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.  Derek highlighted that it is not possible to assess how a risk has changed over time, using the current	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.  Derek highlighted that it is not possible to assess how a risk has changed over time, using the current system. Jessie added that it would be important to see an auditable trail of risks.	
	being used at the moment was a heavy-duty Excel based model which was cumbersome to keep up to date, consumes excessive time, and does not facilitate the process whereby the leadership team actively manages risk.  Derek highlighted that it is not possible to assess how a risk has changed over time, using the current system. Jessie added that it would be important to	



A.24.03.08	Internal Audit - BDO		
		<u> </u>	1
	Committee.		
ACTION	Derek to share framework document with the LTQ	DD	
	and Quality Committee, who would benefit from reading the document.		
	number of new faces within the Learning, Teaching		
	framework. Jessie advised Derek that there are a		
	was beneficial to gain insight into the overall		
	The Committee noted Derek's report, adding that it		
	on key risks.		
	external audit activity in this area and a discussion		
	Assurance arrangements, including internal and		
7.1	Derek provided an overview of the College Quality		
	Management		
A.24.03.07	Review of Quality Assurance Framework and Risk		
	100		
	yet.		
	item to remain open with no actions to raise as of		
	profile will be prepared for the development phase.  The Committee noted this update and agreed for the		
	revised MAATIC project) is approved, then a new risk		
	the resulting opportunity is lost. If and when it (or a		
	the Business Enterprise Hub does not go ahead, and		
	the Moray Growth Deal, the only active risk is that		
0.2	Murray advised the Committee that at this stage in		
6.2			
	(ii) Risk Management Arrangements – Moray Growth Deal		
	management system is operated.		
ACTION	Murray to advise Roger Sendall and Mike Baxter of the Committee's intentions to amend how the risk	ME	
ACTION	the system is managed.  Murray to advise Regar Sendall and Mike Payter of	NAE	
	Moray risk management policy from changing how		
ACTION	Murray to investigate any implications to the UHI	IVIE	
ACTION	be revised.  Murray to investigate any implications to the UHI	ME	
	the College's own risk management policy needs to		
	intentions. Murray agreed to investigate whether		
	Roger Sendall and Mike Baxter, to notify them of its		
	proposal, on the basis that the paper be sent to		
	for 2024/25. The Committee agreed to support this		
	being included in the BDO internal audit programme		
	provided in the paper and for risk management		
	revised, simplified approach to risk management		
	Murray sought support of the Committee for the		
	Manager Andrews Andrews Community Community Community		
	this with College Finance Directors.		
	fit for purpose. The UHI CFO has agreed to review		
	Finance network had debated whether the system is		
	resulted from this. Murray disclosed that the UHI		



0.4	6 25 14 6 29 41 44	
8.1	Sean notified the Committee that the majority of	
	work was completed with regards to the internal	
	audit. The outstanding work remaining is on IT	
	General Controls. This is currently being worked on	
	and is to be presented at the next Committee	
	meeting.	
	meeting.	
	There were 7 outstanding actions in total reviewed	
	There were 7 outstanding actions in total reviewed	
	in the Follow Up Report from the 2022/23 internal	
	audit. 5 of these have now been closed off, with	
	work underway on one, the EMA – 2022/23 audit, to	
	review its implementation.	
	Sean advised the Committee that as part of normal	
	process, BDO maintain an audit register of	
	outstanding recommendations in addition to a	
	parallel tracker being prepared by the College. He	
	asked if the Committee would approve of the use of	
	the BDO system which would save duplication of	
	work, meaning that College managerial staff would	
	not have to maintain the additional spreadsheet.	
	The Committee agreed to allow Sean to use the BDO	
	system, as long as it was being actively tracked. Sean	
	confirmed that this system would be monitored by	
	himself and shared with the College FD.	
	(ii) Stock Control Audit Report	
8.2	Sean notified the Committee that two medium and	
	two low significance findings were identified. The	
	findings relate to gaps in the documented	
	procedures, no clear management reporting on	
	stock, areas for improvement in the annual stock	
	count process, and enhancements to the stock	
	control closure evidence.	
	control diosare evidence.	
	The Committee noted this update.	
	(iii) Student Support Audit Report	
8.3	Sean informed the Committee that BDO identified	
3.3	three findings: two of medium significance and one	
	of low significance. The findings relate to a gap in	
	the strategic framework due to the absence of	
	measurable targets for student support services, the	
	absence of a system to track and action feedback	
	from Learning Development Worker (LDW) Takeover	
	Sessions, and the inconsistent completion rates of	
	staff training modules.	
	Derek highlighted the work planned to rectify the	
	findings from the Audit, noting that maintaining a	
	very high student satisfaction rate is imperative.	
	very mgn student satisfaction rate is imperative.	
	This update was noted by the Committee.	



	(iii) Disaster Recovery Audit Report	
8.4	BDO identified five findings: three of medium	
	significance, and two of low significance. The	
	findings relate to the need for a recent risk and	
	business impact assessment to prioritise critical	
	data, systems, and assets, and to set clear Recovery	
	Time Objectives and Recovery Point Objectives.	
	There is limited evidence of previous Disaster	
	Recovery tests, which are crucial for validating the	
	Business Continuity Plan's effectiveness. The	
	completion rates for mandatory Information	
	Security/GDPR and Data Protection training suggest	
	many staff may not fully understand their roles in IT	
	·	
	recovery procedures.	
	The Constitution of the control of the	
	The Committee noted this update.	
	(iv) Draft Internal Audit Plan 2024-25	
8.5	Sean provided a paper detailing the draft internal	
	audit plan for 2024-25. Jessie queried whether it	
	would be more beneficial to audit Quality Assurance	
	in 2024-25, rather than Procurement, as the four	
	proposed audits are internal facing. Sean advised	
	that as Quality is regularly reviewed through audits	
	such as external verification. James asked to what	
	scale is procurement being audited. Murray	
	explained that it will be more based on internal	
	controls and efficiency with a view to eliminating	
	any unnecessary bureaucracy.	
	The Committee agreed to keep Dresurement on the	
	The Committee agreed to keep Procurement on the	
	audit plan. Sean advised that this should be	
	approved within BDO in the next few days.	
A.24.03.09	Internal Audit – Wylie Bisset	
	(i) Update on 2023-24 Statutory Audits	
9.1	Murray reported in Wylie Bisset's absence that the	
	work was almost finished with no big updates to	
	come. Final reports will be provided in the next	
	meeting.	
	meeting.	
A.24.03.10	Audit Register	
	(i) Review of Status	
10.1	A review of audit register spreadsheet was discussed	
	by the Committee as per Sean's request in the BDO	
	Internal Audit item.	
A 24 02 44	Estamal Audia Deletin	
A.24.03.11	External Audit - Deloitte	
	(i) Final Audit Report 2022-23	
11.1	This update was noted by the Committee.	
11.3	(ii) Final Annual Statements 2022-23	
11.2	This update was noted by the Committee.	



		<u>-</u> -
	(iii) Final Report to the Board from Audit	
44.2	Committee	
11.3	Nicola and Phil confirmed that all submissions had	
	been received from Deloitte.	
	(iv) 2023-24 Audit Plan and Update	
11.4	Nicola Wright was introduced to the committee as	
	the Deloitte partner replacing Pat Kenny who had	
	retired. She confirmed that Deloitte staff have been	
	lined up to start the audit from 14 October 2024,	
	and the December deadline is expected to be met.	
	Any concerns arising from this will be communicated	
	to Murray, and Murray is to advise the Committee of	
	any issues arising, noting the tight schedule.	
	Nicola gave a brief outline of the audit plan, adding	
	that resourcing is a priority for the 2023-24 audit	
	plan. Nicola confirmed that property valuations is	
	the main long leading audit item, as there are	
	specific procedures, following audit quality	
	arrangements becoming more onerous, and	
	requiring additional challenging on assumptions	
	made on valuations. Jessie asked if plans were in	
	place for the long lead items like property valuations	
	and the pension liability. Nicola confirmed that the	
	valuation report had been shared with Deloitte	
	specialists and is being investigated in order to raise	
	questions to the valuers. Murray asked for there not	
	to be delays in feedback arising from any challenges.	
	Nicola added that Deloitte are keen to get started on	
	work with property valuations early, and any help	
	Murray, or the College can offer is appreciated.	
	Jessie sought clarification on the target date set for	
	December. Nicola confirmed that Deloitte were	
	running a week behind schedule and would	
	appreciate the Committee setting a date to review	
	the report in December. The next meeting is the	
	Joint Audit and FGP Committee on 26 November	
	2024. It was agreed that the date of this meeting	
	would be changed to Thursday 5 December 2024 in	
	the morning in order to accommodate Deloitte's	
	request.	
ACTION	Date of Audit/FGP meeting to be moved to 5	AH
	December 2024 so Deloitte's report can be reviewed	
	by the Committee.	
A.24.03.12	Annual Report on Fraud Matters	
12.1		
14.1	Murray confirmed that management were not	
	aware of any fraud matters within the past year.	
A.24.03.13	Policies due for Review 2024-25	
	. J. JICO WAC IOI NEVICIV LULT LU	



		<u>-</u> T
	(i) Status Update	
13.1	Derek informed the Committee that five policies will	
	be going to the FGP meeting next Thursday 10	
	November 2024 for approval.	
	The Anti-Bribery Policy was approved by the	
	Committee. The work done to get policies back on	
	track was noted with thanks by the Committee.	
	track was noted with thanks by the committee.	
4 24 02 44	Authorities No. 17 con	
A.24.03.14	Achieving Net Zero	
	(i) Review of Assurance Framework	
14.1	Derek provided an update on the plans to achieve	
	net zero. Overall, there are 78 sub-tasks to be	
	completed towards achieving a total of 33 initiatives.	
	The College has still not achieved the initial	
	emerging maturity status and progress overall is	
	behind target. The work will need to be reviewed	
	going forward to decide how best staff resource can	
	respond to the requirements. At present, progress is	
	slow due to there being no dedicated person leading	
	this. Derek advised that SLT will look at targets for	
	the next year, with a view of planning ahead a way	
	out of the emerging status of the framework.	
	The Committee noted this update.	
A.24.03.15	Cyber Security/Physical Security Update	
15.1	Derek supported the paper submitted to the	
	Committee. The update was noted by the	
	Committee and discussions were held regarding the	
	Windows 11 upgrade affecting devices in the College	
	that will become incompatible as a result of	
	Windows 10 no longer being supported. A number	
	of options are being investigated in order to save	
	costs in replacing outdated devices.	
	costs in replacing outdated devices.	
A.24.03.16	Governance	
A.24.05.10	(i) Compliance with Code of Good	
	Governance v2022	
16.1		
16.1	The final report for Compliance with the Code of	
	Good Governance will be presented to the	
	Committee by the Clerk at the next meeting.	
A.24.03.17	Emerging Issues	
	(i) Annual Report from the Committee to the	
	Board - Verbal	
17.1	The annual report from the Committee to the Board	
	will be reviewed for approval at the next meeting on	
	5 December 2024, for submission to the Board.	
	(ii) Audit Committees review of Assurance	
	Framework	
	Hamework	



17.2	As this has not been reviewed in recent years, it was	
	agreed that Committee members would meet in	
	February, prior to the meeting on 20 February 2025,	
	to review the Terms of Reference.	
A.24.03.18	Date of Next Meeting – 26 November 2024	
18.1	As per A.24.03.11, it was agreed to move the Joint	
	Audit/FGP to 5 December 2024 to allow for	
	Deloitte's report to be submitted and reviewed by	
	the Committee.	
RESERVED IT	EMS	
A.24.03.19	Draft Reserved Minutes of Previous Audit	
	Committee meetings:	
	(i) 16 May 2024	
19.1	This item is reserved, and the minute held in	
	confidence.	
	(ii) 22 August 2024	
19.2	This item is reserved, and the minute held in	
	confidence.	
	Meeting closed at 16.40pm	